

DOROTHY LOTENERO,)	NO. 1:11-CV-200 AWI BAM
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER DISMISSING
v.)	EVERETTE FINANCIAL, INC.,
)	DBA SUPREME LENDING,
EVERETT FINANCIAL INC, et al.,)	AND GREAT AMERICAN
)	INSURANCE CO., IN LIGHT OF
Defendants.)	STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

Rule 41(a)(1), in relevant part, reads:

Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) thus allows the parties to dismiss an action voluntarily after service of an answer by filing a written stipulation to dismiss signed by all of the parties who have made an appearance in this case, although an oral stipulation in open court will also suffice. Carter v. Beverly Hills Sav. & Loan Assn., 884 F.2d 1186, 1191 (9th Cir. 1989); Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1472-73 (9th Cir. 1986). Once the stipulation between the parties who have appeared

1 is properly filed or made in open court, no order of the court is necessary to effectuate dismissal.
 2 Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(ii); Eitel, 782 F.2d at 1473 n.4. “Caselaw concerning stipulated
 3 dismissals under Rule 41(a) (1) (ii) is clear that the entry of such a stipulation of dismissal is
 4 effective automatically and does not require judicial approval.” In re Wolf, 842 F.2d 464, 466
 5 (D.C. Cir. 1989); Gardiner v. A.H. Robins Co., 747 F.2d 1180, 1189 (8th Cir. 1984); see also
 6 Gambale v. Deutsche Bank AG, 377 F.3d 133, 139 (2d Cir. 2004); Commercial Space Mgmt.
 7 Co. v. Boeing Co., 193 F.3d 1074, 1077 (9th Cir. 1999) cf. Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d
 8 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997) (addressing 41(a)(1)(i)). “The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the
 9 defendants, or some or all of his claims, through a Rule 41(a)(1) notice,” and the dismissal
 10 “automatically terminates the action as to the defendants who are the subjects of the notice.”
 11 Wilson, 111 F.3d at 692; Concha v. London, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995).

12 Because the parties have filed a voluntary dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) as to only
 13 Everett Financial, Inc., dba Supreme Lending, a Texas Corporation, and Great American
 14 Insurance Co., that is signed by all parties who have made an appearance, this case has
 15 terminated as to Everett Financial, Inc., dba Supreme Lending, a Texas Corporation, and Great
 16 American Insurance Co., only. See Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(ii); In re Wolf, 842 F.2d at 466;
 17 Gardiner, 747 F.2d at 1189; see also Gambale, 377 F.3d at 139; Commercial Space Mgmt., 193
 18 F.3d at 1077; cf. Wilson, 111 F.3d at 692.

19 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Everett Financial, Inc., dba Supreme
 20 Lending, a Texas Corporation, and Great American Insurance Co., are DISMISSED from this
 21 case with prejudice in light of the parties’ filed and properly signed Rule 41(a)(1) voluntary
 22 dismissal.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: November 9, 2011

25 
 26 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
 27
 28